1 00:00:00,000 --> 00:00:00,866

2

00:00:00,866 --> 00:00:05,866 So when we reject the null hypothesis in an ANOVA F-test, basically all we can conclude 3 00:00:05,866 --> 00:00:11,932 is that not all of the means are equal or we could say there are some differences between 4 00:00:11,933 --> 00:00:18,499 the means or we could say that the response Y is related to the explanatory X. 5 00:00:18,500 --> 00:00:25,200 It does not provide any immediate insight into why we've rejected the null hypothesis. 6 00:00:25,200 --> 00:00:36,900 As a quick exploratory, you could look at the confidence intervals for each of the groups 7 00:00:36,900 --> 00:00:36,933 individually and we could do this just by using methods that we've already discussed.

8

00:00:36,933 --> 00:00:41,999 So just finding a one-sample confidence interval for each of the groups and then comparing

9

00:00:42,000 --> 00:00:43,400 them.

10

00:00:43,400 --> 00:00:48,400 When we compare multiple groups using standard 95 percent confidence intervals, we have an

11 00:00:48,400 --> 00:00:54,766

increased chance of making an overall error as each interval has a 5 percent error individually. 12 00:00:54,766 --> 00:01:00,199 The more comparisons we make the larger resulting overall error. 13 00:01:00,200 --> 00:01:05,200 So the idea is if we claim two means are different, we may be making a mistake more often than 14 00:01:05,200 --> 00:01:10,700 5 percent of the time if we don't make an adjustment for the fact that we're doing multiple 15 00:01:10,700 --> 00:01:11,700 comparisons. 16 00:01:11,700 --> 00:01:16,900 So in analysis of variance, one common method is to use a multiple comparison procedure, 17 00:01:16,900 --> 00:01:19,866 and this will be fairly easy to do in the software. 18 00:01:19,866 --> 00:01:25,299 The idea is to adjust this error rate so that overall, we've made a five percent error in 19 00:01:25,300 --> 00:01:28,466 all of our comparisons simultaneously. 20 00:01:28,466 --> 00:01:33,399 So we will look at a few of these in the software when we look at our example. 21 00:01:33,433 --> 00:01:39,399 So our example we're going to talk about first

is academic frustration related to major. 22 00:01:39,400 --> 00:01:44,133 A college Dean believes that students with different majors may experience different 23 00:01:44,133 --> 00:01:46,766 levels of academic frustration. 24 00:01:46,766 --> 00:01:52,832 Random samples of 35 business, English, math, and psychology majors are asked to rate their 25 00:01:52,833 --> 00:01:59,399 level of academic frustration on a scale from 1 which is the lowest level to 20 which is 26 00:01:59,400 --> 00:02:01,066 the highest level. 27 00:02:01,066 --> 00:02:04,132 We have an image here that illustrates this problem. 28 00:02:04,133 --> 00:02:05,633 Our major which is X. 29 00:02:05,633 --> 00:02:11,766 We have four majors: business majors, English majors, math majors, and psychology majors. 30 00:02:11,766 --> 00:02:16,699 We have these four populations representing all business majors, English majors, math 31 00:02:16,700 --> 00:02:22,666 majors, or psychology majors at this university and we're going to try to compare the means 32 00:02:22,666 --> 00:02:26,532

3

between these four groups. 33 00:02:26,533 --> 00:02:28,699 We begin by stating our hypotheses. 34 00:02:28,700 --> 00:02:33,633 The only thing we can do to make this a little more specific than what we had already is 35 00:02:33,633 --> 00:02:35,733 that we can say how many means there are. 36 00:02:35,733 --> 00:02:38,699 So the null hypothesis is that mu 1 is equal 37 00:02:38,700 --> 00:02:45,766 to mu_2 is equal to mu_3 is equal t o mu_4. You could also use some notation that made 38 00:02:45,766 --> 00:02:50,432 sense to you, for example, we might use B for business and M for math and P for psychology 39 00:02:50,433 --> 00:02:56,133 and so on. The null hypothesis could also be stated that there is no relationship between 40 00:02:56,133 --> 00:03:01,433 major and academic frustration level. The alternative hypothesis is that not all mu's 41 00:03:01,433 --> 00:03:07,433 are equal. We could also say that there is a relationship between major and academic 42 00:03:07,433 --> 00:03:13,466 frustration level. So next we're going to obtain the data, check our conditions and 43 00:03:13,466 --> 00:03:18,932

summarize the data. In our data we do have all our conditions satisfied. The samples 44 00:03:18,933 --> 00:03:23,799 were all randomly chosen and therefore they are independent. The sample sizes are large 45 00:03:23,800 --> 00:03:29,866 enough in that we have 35 in each group. So we don't really have to worry too much about 46 00:03:29,866 --> 00:03:35,899 normality. We have our boxplots here so we can look at our data more carefully. The data 47 00:03:35,900 --> 00:03:40,666 do suggest that the frustration levels of the business students is generally lower than 48 00:03:40,666 --> 00:03:45,532 the other three majors and then we might want to see if there's any other differences. It's 49 00:03:45,533 --> 00:03:49,799 pretty clear from looking at this graph that I'm probably not going to be able to find 50 00:03:49,800 --> 00:03:55,166 a significant difference between mathematics and psychology but I might be able to find 51 00:03:55,166 --> 00:04:01,166 a significant difference between English and either mathematics or psychology or both depending 52 00:04:01,166 --> 00:04:07,599 on the final results. To determine if we can have equal variances right now, we're just 53 00:04:07,600 --> 00:04:12,466

going to look at the rule of thumb. The rule

of thumb is satisfied since we have the largest 54 00:04:12,466 --> 00:04:21,866 standard deviation is 3.082 and the smallest is 2.088 and if we divide that we will get 55 00:04:21,866 --> 00:04:29,399 less than 2 and so we can continue with the test. Here we are using the minitab output 56 00:04:29,400 --> 00:04:34,366 to display the results of the ANOVA. It will be fairly similar in most software packages, 57 00:04:34,366 --> 00:04:40,132 especially the top table. We have our sources of variation due to major. That's the variation 58 00:04:40,133 --> 00:04:44,966 between our sample means, which in this case represents a difference in the means due to 59 00:04:44,966 --> 00:04:52,732 major and then we have our error, which is the overall variation within each group and 60 00:04:52,733 --> 00:05:01,499 we see an F-statistic of 46.6 and a p-value of basically 0.000. There is some other information 61 00:05:01,500 --> 00:05:07,000 contained here but that's all we're going to talk about right now. So here we have our 62 00:05:07,000 --> 00:05:11,366 - value is very small, basically nothing, which tells us that it would be next to impossible 63 00:05:11,366 --> 00:05:16,532 to get data like those observed had the mean

frustration level of the four majors been 64 00:05:16,533 --> 00:05:23,399 the same in the populations, as the null hypothesis claims. So in our example, the p-value is 65 00:05:23,400 --> 00:05:28,666 small. That indicates that our data provides extremely strong evidence to reject the null 66 00:05:28,666 --> 00:05:34,299 hypothesis. We can conclude that the population mean frustration level of the four majors 67 00:05:34,300 --> 00:05:40,766 are not all the same. Or in other words, that majors do have an effect on students' academic 68 00:05:40,766 --> 00:05:47,399 frustration levels at the school where this test was conducted. And as we mentioned, we 69 00:05:47,400 --> 00:05:52,166 can construct confidence intervals through standard methods. Here in the minitab output, 70 00:05:52,166 --> 00:05:56,532 we have individual 95 percent confidence intervals. These would be what we would obtain if we 71 00:05:56,533 --> 00:06:02,666 just asked for a standard confidence interval within each group and it is pretty clear without 72 00:06:02,666 --> 00:06:08,099 even having any adjustment for multiple comparisons that the business students have a much lower 73

00:06:08,100 --> 00:06:13,933 frustration level than the other three groups. We can see that the group for English does

74

00:06:13,933 --> 00:06:19,266 not overlap with the group for psychology in terms of the 95 percent confidence interval

75

00:06:19,266 --> 00:06:25,166 for the mean, but again one of the problems is we have a higher chance of making an error

76

00:06:25,166 --> 00:06:30,732 in one of our comparisons due to the fact that we have a five percent error rate in

77

00:06:30,733 --> 00:06:35,433 each of our confidence intervals. We will look at the multiple comparisons once we go

78

00:06:35,433 --> 00:06:36,733 into the software.