



Oral Health Florida Data Action Team

Minutes: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 Conference Call

2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. EST

Agenda

1. Welcome/Introductions

Meeting called to order at 2:00 p.m. EST

2. Attendees Self Announce

(email attendance to Jill Herndon; jill.herndon@keyanalyticsconsulting.com)

Members in attendance: Lilli Copp, Judith Corbin, Denise Curtis, Jill Herndon, Kim Herremans, Abigail Holicky, Deepthi Janga, Tami Miller, Darlene Pourcillie, Nancy Sawyer, Scott Tomar, Christina Vracar

3. Approval of minutes: November 12th, 2015 Conference Call

Moved (Tomar) and seconded (Sawyer) to approve minutes. Approved.

4. Leadership Council Updates (Miller)

- Miller reported that the Leadership Council (LC) had a Face-To-Face meeting in December:
 - The LC participated in Turn the Curve exercises for the Roadmap indicators, which are currently being updated.
 - There was a change in the composition of the LC and in the Operating Principles. The most significant change was moving members who had traditionally had voting status but frequently had to abstain (e.g., members who are also employed by state agencies, such as the Florida Department of Health) to non-voting members.
- Oral Health Florida Conference will be held in conjunction with the Florida Public Health and the state Dental Directors meeting. The meetings will occur July 27-29, 2016 in Orlando, Florida.
 - The LC will have a call next week to discuss the schedule. Please send any suggestions for conference sessions to Tami Miller.

5. Head Start

A. Performance Summary (Copp)

- Copp reviewed the most recent oral health data for Florida Head Start grantees (and their delegates).
 - There are five oral health indicators: (1) dental home, (2) preschool preventive care, (3) preschool completed dental exam, (4) preschool needed treatment, and (5) preschool received treatment.
 - Relative to historical data for Florida HS, the numbers overall look good.
 - Focused on three indicators to identify relatively low performing grantees: (1) dental home, (2) completed exam, and (3) received treatment.
 - Identified a subset of approximately 8-10 programs that were lower performers that may be good candidates for technical assistance.

- Group discussed the data and technical assistance:
 - Some of the data raised questions about potential variability in how each grantee is defining each indicator. No federal guidance is provided.
 - Suggestions related to data collection and reporting included:
 - Include the numbers of children served by each grantee for better interpretation of the percentages presented in the report
 - Survey grantees to find out how they define each indicator and how they collect data
 - Work with grantees to develop consistent definitions
 - Consider developing standardized forms or examples of “gold standard” forms for grantees to use when collecting data
 - In addition to focusing on relatively lower performing grantees, consider contacting programs with data that seem inconsistent across the indicators or that have unexpectedly high or low values

B. Technical Assistance Possibilities (Copp/Herndon/Group)

Proposal for DAT consideration and discussion:

- 1) Identify some lower performers to focus TA efforts around
- 2) Schedule a conference call to discuss challenges both in helping families to secure services as well as in data tracking and reporting
- 3) Use information to inform/guide: (a) preconference session at FPHA meeting, (b) potential development of TA by DAT, (c) strategies for disseminating guidance/TA

Next Steps Identified:

- Identify sub-group of DAT who would like to work on this issue.
 - Interested DAT members should email Jill Herndon.
- Sub-group will work with Copp to review data and identify sub-set of grantees to schedule a call to understand their interpretation of the data, how they collect and report data, and challenges they face in providing services.
- Information gained from this subset may be used to inform the development of a survey for the broader set of grantees.

6. OHF DAT Data Reporting Updates

A. Road Map Indicators (Herndon)

- a. Road Map Scorecard on OHF website
 - i. Suggestions?
 - Herndon encouraged DAT members to review the Scorecard, particularly any data elements they contributed to, and to provide feedback to the DAT and/or Tami Miller, Zona Gale, and Jill Herndon
- b. Indicator updates
 - i. CMS-416 data
 - Herndon reported that the data had not been updated when she last checked, but she will update the indicators when new data are available.
 - ii. ED data
 - Tomar updated the dental-related ED visits and charges data at the state and county levels with breakouts by age and payer. A trend analysis of dental-related ED use in Florida from 2005-2014 has been accepted for publication, and he will share that once it is released by the publisher.

- Holicky shared that Florida CHARTS has data on dental-related inpatient visits by county for people 65 years and younger.
- Tomar noted that when looking at different indicators of dental-related hospitalizations and ED visits, it is important to be aware of how those visits are defined.

iii. Water fluoridation data

- Herndon noted that the DAT can reference the work of the Fluoride Action Team for these data updates.

iv. School based sealant data

- Vracar reported that the sealant data for Fiscal Year 2014-2015 are complete with the exception of one volunteer network. The Sealant Action team will publish the aggregated data on their web page.

B. County-Level ED Data (Tomar)

- See 6(A)(b)(ii) above.

C. General Data/Resources Updates

- Herndon reported that she is working with Zona Gale to update the DAT web page.

7. Other Updates/Announcements

A. Burden of Oral Disease report (Holicky)

- Holicky reported that the Burden of Oral Disease report is complete and is currently being circulated for formal approval. She will share the report once it is released.

B. FL DOH AMCHP Grant (Holicky and Vracar)

- Holicky and Vracar reported that the team completed the ROI analysis of sealants, including a communications product that has been disseminated. They received very positive feedback. There are few examples of these types of analyses in dentistry, and they have received significant interest from other states and dental health programs.

C. Sealant Action Team Update – Data (Vracar)

- See 6(A)(b)(iv) above.

Meeting adjourned at 2:58 p.m. EST